



STAGE 2 OPERATIONAL EVALUATION – TREATMENT PROCESS

State Form 55262 (4-13)
Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Water Quality – Drinking Water Branch – Compliance Section

IDEM – Drinking Water Branch
100 N. Senate Ave.
MC 66-34
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2251
Telephone: 317-234-7435
Fax: 317-234-7436
Email: dwbmgr@idem.in.gov

- INSTRUCTIONS:**
1. Complete this form.
 2. Complete the Stage 2 Operational Evaluation - Distribution System.
 3. Complete the Stage 2 Operational Evaluation - Source Water.
 4. Complete the Stage 2 Operational Evaluation - Summary.
 5. Submit all 4 forms to IDEM.

PWSID: IN _____ **Water System Name:** _____

Address (number and street): _____

City: _____ **State:** _____ **ZIP:** _____

Report Prepared By: _____ **Date (month/day/year):** _____

Telephone Number: _____ **Fax Number:** _____

Email: _____

Q1. Review finished water data for the time period prior to the OEL exceedance(s) and compare to historical finished water data using the following questions:

- Were DBP precursors (TOC, DOC, SUVA, bromide, etc.) higher than normal? Yes No
- Was finished water pH higher or lower than normal? Yes No
- Was finished water turbidity higher than normal? Yes No
- Was the disinfectant concentration leaving the plant(s) higher than normal? Yes No
- Were finished water THM/HAA levels higher than normal? Yes No
- Were operational and water quality data available to the system operator for effective decision making? Yes No

PREDISINFECTION

Q2. Does the treatment process including predisinfection? Yes No

If NO, proceed to Q3. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred:

- Was disinfected raw water stored for an unusually long time? Yes No
- Were treatment plant flows lower than normal? Yes No
- Were treatment plant flows equally distributed among different treatment trains? Yes No N/A
- Were water temperatures higher than usual? Yes No
- Were chlorine feed rates outside the normal range? Yes No
- Was a disinfectant residual present in the treatment train following predisinfection? Yes No
- Were online instruments utilized for process control? Yes No

- Did you switch to free chlorine as the oxidant (if using something other than free chlorine)? Yes No
 N/A
- Was there a recent change (or addition) of pre-oxidant? Yes No
- Did you change the location of the pre-disinfection application? Yes No
-

PRESEDIMENTATION

Q3. Does your treatment process include presedimentation? Yes No

If NO, proceed to Q4. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred:

- Were flows low? Yes No
- Were flows high? Yes No
- Were online instruments utilized for process control? Yes No
- Was sludge removed from the presedimentation basin? Yes No
- Was sludge allowed to accumulate for an excessively long time? Yes No
- Do you add a coagulant to your presedimentation basin? Yes No
- Was there a problem with the coagulant feed? Yes No N/A
-

COAGULATION/FLOCCULATION

Q4. Does your treatment process include coagulation/flocculation? Yes No

If NO, proceed to Q5. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred:

- Were there any feed pump failures or were feed pumps operating at improper feed rates? Yes No
- Were chemical feed systems controlled by flow pacing? Yes No
- Were there changes in coagulation practices or the feed point? Yes No
- Did you change the type or manufacturer of the coagulant? Yes No
- Do you suspect that the coagulant in use at the time of the OEL exceedance did not meet industry standards? Yes No
- Did the pH or alkalinity change at the point of coagulant addition? Yes No
- Were there broken or plugged mixers? Yes No
- Were flow rates above the design rate or was there short-circuiting? Yes No

SEDIMENTATION/CLARIFICATION

Q5. Does your treatment process include sedimentation/clarification? Yes No

If NO, proceed to Q6. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred:

Were there changes in plant flow rate that may have resulted in a decrease in settling time or carry-over of process solids? Yes No

Were settled water turbidities higher than normal? Yes No

Was there any disruption in the sludge blanket that may have resulted in carryover to the point of disinfection? Yes No

Was there any maintenance in the basin that may have stirred sludge from the bottom of the basin and caused it to carry over to the point of disinfectant addition? Yes No

Was sludge allowed to accumulate for an excessively long time or was there a malfunction in the sludge removal equipment? Yes No

FILTRATION

Q6. Does your treatment process include filtration? Yes No

If NO, proceed to Q7. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred:

Was there an increase in individual or combined filter effluent turbidity or particle counts? Yes No

Was there an increase in turbidity or particle loading onto the filters? Yes No

Was there an increase in flow onto the filters or malfunction of the rate of flow controllers? Yes No

Were any filters taken off-line for an extended period of time that caused the other filters to operate near maximum design capacity and created the conditions for possible breakthrough? Yes No

Were any filters operated beyond their normal filter run times? Yes No

Were there any unusual spikes in individual filter effluent turbidity (which may indicate particulate or colloidal TOC breakthrough) in the days leading to the OEL exceedance? Yes No

Were all filters run in a filter-to-waste mode during initial filter ripening? Yes No

If GAC filters are used, is it possible the adsorptive capacity of the GAC bed was reached before reactivation occurred? Yes No N/A

If biological filtration is used, were there any process upsets that may have resulted in the breakthrough of TOC? Yes No N/A

DISINFECTION BEFORE CLEARWELL

Q7. Does your treatment process include primary disinfection by injecting chlorine prior to a clearwell? Yes No

If NO, proceed to Q8. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred:

Was there a sudden increase in the amount of chlorine fed or an increase in the chlorine residual? Yes No

Was there an increase in clearwell holding time? Yes No

Was the plant shut down or were plant flows low? Yes No

Was there an increase in clearwell water temperature? Yes No

Did you switch to free chlorine recently as a primary disinfectant? Yes No

Was the inactivation of *Giardia* and/or viruses exceptionally high? Yes No

Was there a change in the mixing strategy (e.g. mixers not used, adjustment of tank level)? Yes No

RECYCLE

Q8. Does your plant recycle spent filter backwash or other streams? Yes No

If NO, proceed to Q9. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred:

Did a change in the recycle stream quality contribute to increased DBP precursor loading that was not addressed by treatment plant processes? Yes No

Did a recycle event result in flows in excess of typical or design flows? Yes No

DISINFECTION AFTER CLEARWELL

Q9. Do you inject a disinfectant after your clearwell to maintain a distribution system residual? Yes No

If NO, proceed to Q10. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred:

Was there a sudden increase in the amount of chlorine fed? Yes No

If using chloramines, was there a switch to free chlorine for a burnout period? Yes No N/A

If using chloramines, was the chlorine to ammonia ratio in the proper range? Yes No N/A

Was there a problem with either chlorine or ammonia (if applicable) mixing? Yes No

Q10. Did concern about complying with a rule other than the Stage 2 DBP Rule, such as the Lead and Copper rule, the Total Coliform Rule, or any other rule constrain your options to reduce the DBP levels at this site? For example, is your ability to control DBP precursors in coagulation/flocculation limited by other treatment targets/requirements?

Yes No

If NO, proceed to Q11. If YES, explain in further detail (*attach additional sheets if necessary*) and consult EPA's *Simultaneous Compliance Guidance Manual* for alternative compliance approaches:

CONCLUSION

Q11. Did treatment factors and/or variations in the plant performance cause or contribute to the OEL exceedance(s)?

Yes No

Possibly

If YES or POSSIBLY, explain in further detail:

Total number of pages (including attachments) submitted: _____